

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/686,985 10/16/2003		Frank Akselberg	MRKS/0128	8123		
36735	7590	04/04/2006		EXAMINER		
		IERIDAN, L.L.P.	DEVORE, PETER T			
HOUSTON,		JLEVARD, SUITI 156	2 1300	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3751		
				DATE MAILED: 04/04/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



## Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/686,985	AKSELBERG, FRANK	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Peter T. deVore	3751	
reter i. devore	3/31	

Advisory Action	10/686,985 AKSELBERG, FRANK		K					
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Peter T. deVore	3751						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence addre	ess					
THE REPLY FILED 13 March 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AI	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.						
<ul> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:</li> <li>a) The period for reply expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection.</li> <li>b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no</li> </ul>								
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).								
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee.  atutory period for reply originally set in the  s after the mailing date of the final rejection	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2) a on, even if timely filed, may	fee under 37 as set forth in (b) reduce any					
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).  AMENDMENTS								
<ul> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because         <ul> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);</li> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);</li> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for</li> </ul> </li> </ul>								
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))		ejected claims.						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendment (	(PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s			, ,					
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).		, timely filed amendme	ent canceling					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:								
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected:								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good are and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	ut before or on the date of filing a l nd sufficient reasons why the affida	Notice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	ot be entered necessary					
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessation.	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	eal and/or appellant fail See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a l).					
10. [] The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the second of the	10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.							
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered b See Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allowar	nce because:					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  13. Other:								
LQ								

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Examiner's position is that the drawings illustrate that the valve seat area is "substantially the same" as the poppet cross sectional area in both the Weirich and Seaney prior art devices.

DAVID J. WALCZAK PRIMARY EXAMINER